### Functional Data Analysis & Variable Selection

#### Nedret Billor

Auburn University Department of Mathematics and Statistics



Universidad Nacional de Colombia Medellin, Colombia March 14, 2016

# Functional Data Analysis

- Univariate Contains numbers as its observations (1D) X<sub>n</sub> random variable.
- Multivariate Contains vectors as its observations (pD)
   X<sub>n</sub> random vector.
- Functional Contains vectors of infinite dimensions as its observations (∞D):
   X<sub>n</sub>(t), t ∈ [a, b] functions.



Figure: Functional Data (Ramsay and Silverman, 2005)

FDA : collection of different methods in statistical analysis for analyzing curves or functional data.

In standard statistical analysis, the focus is:

• on the set of data vectors (univariate, multivariate).

In FDA, the focus is

• on the type of data structure such as *curves*, *shapes*, *images*, or *set of functional observations*.

#### Fields using Functional Data Analysis



e-Commerce

Biometrics

**Computer Science** 

同下 イヨト イヨト

Essentially the same as those of any other branch of statistics:

- to represent the data in ways that aid further analysis,
- to display the data so as to highlight various characteristics,
- to study important sources of pattern and variation among the data,
- to explain variation in an outcome or dependent variable by using input or independent variable information,
- to compare two or more sets of data with respect to certain types of variation....

(1日) (日) (日)

#### What are Functional Data about?



Figure: Canadian Weather Data (Ramsay and Silverman, 2005)

- 'x': Mean temperatures recorded by a weather station for the entire month, collected over 30 years.
- Colors: Geographic climates of the stations.

Atlantic (red), Continental (blue) Pacific (green), Arctic (black).

#### What are Functional Data about?



- In FDA we think of the observed data functions as single entities.
- Term *functional* refers to the intrinsic structure of the observed data.
- Functional data are usually observed and recorded discretely as p pairs (t<sub>i</sub>, y<sub>i</sub>).
- y<sub>i</sub> is the 'snapshot' of the function at time t<sub>i</sub>.
- The underlying function is assumed to be smooth.

- Estimation of functional data from noisy discrete observations.
- Estimation of functional data from sparsely sampled observations.
- Numerical representation of infinite-dimensional objects.
- $\bullet\,$  Number of 'predictors',  $p\,>>\,$  n, the number of observations.

#### Discrete to Functional Form







# How can we represent the temperature pattern of a Canadian city over the entire year?

Discrete Form: *p* pairs of  $(t_j, y_j)$ . Functional Form:  $y_j = x(t_j) + \varepsilon_j$ 

- If discrete values are error-less, some interpolation method is used.
- If there is some observational error, some smoothing method is used.

프 + ㅋㅋ +

### Representing Functional Data by basis functions

• Represent functions:

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k \phi_k(t)$$

- Chosen basis system φ(t) should have features characteristic of the observed data.
- Fourier basis for periodic data, B-spline basis for non-periodic data.
- Interpolation is achieved when K = p.
- The degree to which  $y_i$  is smoothed is determined by K.

## Smoothing Functional Data

- Two main objectives in function estimation:
  - (1) Good fit to data by minimizing  $\sum [y_j x(t_j)]^2$
  - (2) Fit should not be too good so that x(t) is locally variable.
- These competing aim correspond to this basic principle, Mean squared error =  ${\rm Bias}^2 + {\rm Sampling}$  variance where

$$Bias[\hat{x}(t)] = x(t) - E[\hat{x}(t)]$$
$$Var[\hat{x}(t)] = E[\{\hat{x}(t) - E[\hat{x}(t)]\}^2]$$

(\* ) \* ) \* ) \* )

Smoothing with Roughness Penalty

Model: 
$$y_j = \sum_{k=1}^{K} c_k \phi_k(t_j) + \varepsilon_j, \ j = 1, \dots, p$$

• Popular measure to quantify the notion of "roughness" of a function is **curvature**,

$$PEN_2(x) = \int [D^2x(s)]^2 ds$$

• The penalized residual sum of squares,

$$PENSSE_m(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{c})'\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{c}) + \lambda PEN_2(x)$$

where  ${\bf W}$  is a weight matrix and  $\lambda$  is a smoothing parameter.

$$\mathbf{\hat{c}} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^{'}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{\Phi} + \lambda\mathbf{R})^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^{'}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}$$

where  $\mathbf{R} = \int D^m \phi(s) D^m \phi'(s) ds$ .

### Illustration



<注入 < 注入 < 注入

- How can I summarize the patterns?
- Do the summary statistics "mean" and "covariance" have any meaning when I'm dealing with curves?



- Now that we have functional estimated curves of our observed data, we'd like to summarize the estimated temperature curves.
- Climatologists can then use these summaries to talk about typical weather patterns and about variability in these patterns over time and across Canada.

### Descriptive Statistics for Functional Data

Sample Mean function:

$$\hat{\mu}(t) = \bar{x} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(t)$$

Sample variance function:

$$var_x(t) = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i(t) - \bar{x})^2$$

Sample covariance function:

$$\hat{c}(t_1, t_2) = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i(t_1) - \bar{x}(t_1))(x_i(t_2) - \bar{x}(t_2))$$

Sample correlation function:

$$\mathit{corr}_{x}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\hat{c}(t_1, t_2)}{\sqrt{\mathit{var}_{x}(t_1)\mathit{var}_{x}(t_2)}}$$

A B + A B + 
 D
 A

### Sample Mean Function



Atlantic stations in red, Continental in blue, Pacific in green, and Arctic in black.

- Calculated by averaging the functions pointwise across the replications.
- The mean curves show the distinctive patterns of the four climates.
- Pacific cities are much warmer than the rest of Canada in the winter and spring but have fairly typical summer temperatures.
- The Arctic stations, on the other hand, have temperatures cooler than the average throughout the year.

#### Sample Standard deviation function



- Simple analogue of the classical standard deviation has similar interpretations.
- SD function suggests: the winter months have the greatest variability in recorded temperatures across Canada - approximately 9 degrees Celsius, as compared to the summer months with a standard deviation of about 4 degrees Celsius.

Question: What modes of variation can we can find in the data?

- How can I determine the primary modes of variation in the data?
- How many typical modes can summarize these thirty-five curves?

Most sets of data display a small number of dominant or substantial modes of variation!

principal components analysis to functional data.

## **Functional PCA**

- {x(t), t ∈ T}: a stochastic process where T is some index set which is a bounded interval on ℜ.
- The principal component scores corresponding to weight  $\gamma$  is generalized to an integral form,

$$Z_i = \int \gamma_j(t) x_i(t) dt.$$

• The weight function  $\gamma_j(t)$  is obtained by solving

$$\max_{\langle \gamma_{\mathbf{j}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{m}} \rangle = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{m}), \ \mathbf{j} \leq \mathbf{m}} N^{-1} \sum (\int \gamma_{\mathbf{j}} x_{\mathbf{j}})^2$$

or equivalent to solving the functional eigenequation

$$\int \psi(s,t)\gamma(t) dt = \lambda \gamma(s) \quad \gamma \in L^2,$$

where  $\psi$  is the covariance function of the x(t).

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

#### PCs for Weather Data



First four PC curves estimated from the basis approximation

## Lasso-based Variable Selection Methods for Functional Regression Model

**Classification** and **Regression** problems with large numbers of candidate predictor variables occur in a wide variety of scientific fields.

In 1996, Tim Hesterberg asked Brad Efron:

"What are the most important problems in statistics?"

A single problem: Variable selection in regression.

- Hard to argue with this assessment!
- This answer reflects the importance of variable selection in practice since Efron's work has long been strongly grounded in solving real problems.

イヨトイヨト

- accurate predictions,
- interpretable models—determining which predictors are meaningful,
- stability-small changes in the data should not result in large changes in either the subset of predictors used, the associated coefficients, or the predictions, and
- avoiding bias in hypothesis tests during or after variable selection

# Functional Regression Model with Functional Predictors and a Scalar Quantitative Response

# Simple Functional Regression Model: Canadian Weather Data



Does the total amount of precipitation depend on specific features of the temperature profile of a weather station? Assumed Model:

$$Y_i = lpha + \int_{\mathcal{T}} X_i(t) eta(t) dt + \epsilon_i.$$

$$i = 1, \ldots, 35.$$

- Y : scalar response (amount of precipitation).
- X(t) : temperature functional predictor.

- Y : scalar response.
- X(t) (functional predictor): squared integrable random function.
- X<sub>i</sub>(t) assumed to be E(X<sub>i</sub>(t)) = 0 and observed without measurement error at a grid of time points.
- $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .
- $\alpha$ : a scalar parameter.
- $\beta(t)$ : smooth and squared integrable parameter function.

ヨト イヨト イヨト

# Multiple Functional Regression Model: Japanese Weather Data



#### Data from Chronological Scientific Tables 2005 (Matsui and Konishi, 2011)

Assumed Model:

$$Y_i = lpha + \sum_{j=1}^p \int_{\mathcal{T}_I} X_{ij}(t) eta_j(t) dt + \epsilon_i.$$

 $i=1,\ldots,79.$ 

Four functional predictors (p = 4):

- Monthly observed average temperatures,
- Average atmospheric pressure,
- Average humidity,
- Time of daylight,
- Annual total precipitation (scalar).

Because functional coefficients  $(\beta_j)$  are more complicated objects than scalar coefficients in classical multiple linear regression,

Generally desirable to identify those significant variables in predicting the responses, even if *p* is small!

**Aim**: Select the functional predictor variables that contribute the most for the prediction of annual total precipitation.

Some major approaches:

- **Traditional approach**(Ramsay and Silverman, 2005): *represent* functional data by an expansion with respect to a certain basis, and subsequent inferences are carried out on the coefficients.
- **The French school** (Ferraty and Vieu, 2006): *take a* nonparametric point of view, extends the traditional nonparametric techniques, most notably the kernel estimate, to the functional case.
- Other methods: such as put functional regression in the reproducing kernel Hilbert space framework has been developed (Preda, 2007; Lian, 2007).

ゆ く ゆ く ゆ く

# Functional Regression Model with Functional / Non-functional Predictors and Binary Response

# Fluorescence spectroscopy data for cervical pre-cancer diagnosis

**Objective**: to discriminate the diseased observations from normal based on the high dimensional functional data – the fluorescence spectral measurements.



#### Fluorescence spectroscopy data

- Functional predictors: 717 EEM measurements (each contains 16 curves)
- Non-functional predictors : associated with the measurements which may cause systematic difference in spectra, such as tissue type of the measurement site (two levels), or the menopausal status of patients (three levels).

on n=306 patients.

**Basic concern**: When there are multiple functions per observation, (a) how do we perform a curve selection to select few important curves & (b)perform classification based on the selected curves?

ゆ く き と く ほ と

**Classification** with functional data: **ALSO** a challenging problem due to the high dimensionality of the observation space.

( ) < ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) <

# Variable Selection Methods for Standard Multiple Linear Regression Model

There are

- Traditional Approaches
- Modern Methods (Regularization Methods)

More recently, regularization methods have received much attention for **standard linear regression**:

- LASSO (Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator),
- SCAD (Smoothly clipped absolute deviation) penalty,
- Adaptive LASSO...

Variable selection is an important problem in functional regression analysis.

- β(t)'s are more complicated objects than scalar coefficients in classical multiple linear regression.
- identify those significant X(t) predictors in predicting Y,
   even if p is small!
- Multiple parameters exist for a functional predictor, therefore group structure based techniques. These are:
  - functional group LASSO
  - Inctional group SCAD

< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Suppose that we have n observations

$$\{(X_{ij}(t), Y_i); t \in \Im, i = 1, ..., N, j = 1, ..., p\}.$$

Functional Regression Model:

$$Y_i = \alpha + \Sigma_{j=1}^p \int_{\mathcal{T}} X_{ij}(t) \beta_j(t) dt + \epsilon_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

- Y<sub>i</sub>: scalar response.
- $\mathbf{X}_i(t) = (X_{i1}(t), ..., X_{ip}(t))^T$  are functional predictors.

#### $X_{ij}(t)$ can be discretized on a finite grid & expressed as

$$X_{ij}(t) = \Sigma_{b=1}^{K} a_{ijb} \phi_{jb}(t)$$

φ<sub>jb</sub>(t) : basis functions (e.g. Fourier, splines, Gaussian)
a<sub>ijb</sub>: basis coefficients.

ゆ く ゆ く ゆ く

#### The coefficient functions $\beta_j$ expressed as:

$$\beta_j(t) = \sum_{b=1}^K c_{jb} \phi_{jb}(t)$$

- $\phi_{jb}(t)$ : known basis functions,
- c<sub>jb</sub> : unknown corresponding coefficients.

(4) E > (4) E >

#### Functional Regression Model

#### Our Model becomes:

$$Y_{i} = \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \Phi_{ij}^{T} \mathbf{c}_{j} + \epsilon_{i}$$
(1)  
$$= \mathbf{z}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{c} + \epsilon_{i}.$$
(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_{i} &= (1, \mathbf{a}_{i1}^{T} \mathbf{J}_{\phi_{1}}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{ip}^{T} \mathbf{J}_{\phi_{p}})^{T} \\ \mathbf{c} &= (\alpha, \mathbf{c}_{1}^{T}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{p}^{T})^{T} \\ \mathbf{J}_{b} &= \int_{\Im} \phi_{b}^{T}(t) \phi_{b}(t) dt \; (K \times K \text{ cross-product matrices}). \end{aligned}$$

→ E > < E >

- Functional Group SCAD: Matsui and Konishi (2011)
- Functional Group SCAD: Lian (2013)
- Functional Group LASSO: Zhu and Cox (2009)
- Functional Group LASSO: Gertheiss et al. (2013)
- Wavelet-Based LASSO: Zhao et al. (2013)

# Functional Group LASSO: Zhu and Cox (2009) and Gertheiss et al. (2013)

Both methods:

- for Generalized Linear Model (Classification problem).
- based on Regularization Methods (Functional Group LASSO)
  - Zhu and Cox approach: Functional PCs are used to reduce the model to multivariate logistic regression and a grouped Lasso penalty is applied to the reduced model to select useful functional covariates among multiple curves.
  - Gertheiss et al.'s approach: penalized likelihood method that simultaneously controls the sparsity of the model and the smoothness of the corresponding coefficient functions by adequate penalization.

(4 回 ) (4 回 ) (4 回 )

Objective function:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \Phi_{ij}^{T} \mathbf{c}_j)^2 + P_{\lambda,\varphi}(\beta_j).$$

where the penalty function

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,arphi}(eta_j) = \lambda(||eta_j||^2 + arphi||eta_j''||^2)^{1/2}.$$

• 
$$||.||^2 = \int (.)^2 dt$$
 is the  $L^2$  norm.

- $\beta_i''$  is the second derivative of  $\beta_j$ .
- $\lambda$  is the parameter that controls sparseness.
- $\varphi$  is the smoothing parameter that controls smoothness of the coefficients.

- As  $\lambda \uparrow$ ,  $\hat{eta}(\mathsf{t}) \to \mathsf{0}$  at some value.
- As  $\varphi \uparrow$ , the departure from linearity is penalized stronger and  $\hat{\beta}(t)$  becomes closer to a linear function.
- Smaller values for  $\varphi$  result in very wiggly and difficult to interpret estimated coefficient functions.
- For optimal estimates (in terms of accuracy and interpretability), an adequate  $(\lambda, \varphi)$  combination has to be chosen.
- $\lambda$  and  $\varphi$  are selected via K-fold cross-validation.

ゆ く き と く ほ と

•  $P_{\lambda,\varphi}(\beta_j)$  is modified as:

$$P_{\lambda,\varphi}(\beta_j) = \lambda(\kappa_j ||\beta_j||^2 + \varphi \nu_j ||\beta_j''||^2)^{1/2}$$

- The weights  $\kappa_j$  and  $\nu_j$  are chosen in a data-adaptive way to:
  - Reflect some subjectivity about the true parameter functions
  - Allow for different shrinkage and smoothness for the different covariates.

# Robust Variable Selection for Functional Regression Models with Functional Predictors and a Scalar Response

## Outliers in Japanese Weather Data (Pallavi et al. 2013)

#### One of the main assumptions in these approaches: Homogeneity of Data



**Outlier Curve**: That curve that has been generated by a stochastic process with a different distribution than the rest of curves, which are assumed to be identically distributed (Febrero et al, 2007).

Aim: Select the functional predictor variables that contribute the most for the prediction of annual total precipitation in the presence of outliers.

## Robust Functional Group LASSO: Pannu and Billor, 2015

Two methods based on Gertheiss et al.'s approach:  $\alpha$  and  $c_j$  can be estimated by minimizing the following:

#### LAD-groupLASSO

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |Y_i - \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \mathbf{\Phi}_{ij}^{T} \mathbf{c}_{j}| + P_{\lambda,\varphi}(\beta_j).$$

#### WLAD-groupLASSO

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i |Y_i - \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \Phi_{ij}^{T} \mathbf{c}_j| + P_{\lambda,\varphi}(\beta_j).$$

where,  $P_{\lambda,\varphi}(\beta_j)$  is the penalty function (Meier et al., 2009) and  $w_i$  are the weights for controlling outliers in the functional predictor space.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Adaptive LAD-gLASSO and Adaptive WLAD-gLASSO

Penalty function:

$$P_{\lambda,\varphi}(\beta_j) = \lambda(\kappa_j ||\beta_j||^2 + v_j \varphi ||\beta_j''||^2)^{1/2}.$$

- $\kappa_j$  for smoothness
- $v_j$  for shrinkage

data adaptive weights.

• • = • • = •

- *w<sub>i</sub>* are obtained using the robust distances of the predictors.
- The outlying observations in the x direction will have large distances and the corresponding weights will be small.
- Therefore, it is expected that the resulting estimator will be robust against the outliers in the response variable and leverage points.

- Calculate the robust location and scatter estimates, μ̃ and Σ for the location vector and the scatter matrix of the data x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub> ∈ ℜ<sup>p</sup>.
  - One can use high breakdown point location and scatter estimators such as *MCD* (Minimum Covariance Determinant).
  - The idea behind *MCD* is to find observations whose empirical covariance matrix has the smallest determinant, yielding a pure subset of observations from which to compute standards estimates of location and covariance.
- Compute the robust distances:  $RD(\mathbf{x}_i) = (\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mu})^T \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mu}).$

• Calculate the weights  $w_i = min\left\{1, \frac{p}{RD(\mathbf{x}_i)}\right\}$  for i = 1, ..., n.

Contamination of Y

- $\epsilon$  are generated from the N(0, 1),  $t_2$  and  $t_7$ .
- Contamination level: 15%.

\* E > < E >

## Contamination X(t)



Asymmetric contamination (15%)

E > < E >

Performance measures (50 runs):

• SE = 
$$\int (\hat{\beta}_j(t) - \beta_j(t))^2 dt$$

• Mean squared Errors of prediction:

$$MSE = 1/n \sum_{i} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2.$$

• Mean Absolute Errors of prediction:

$$MAD = 1/n \sum_i |Y_i - \hat{Y}_i|.$$

( ) < ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) <

• p = 10, N = 300

- Time grid: 300 equidistant time points in (0, 300).
- The true model:

$$Y_i = \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^5 \int_0^{300} \beta_j(t) X_{ij}(t) dt + \epsilon_i.$$

 $\epsilon_i \sim N(0,4)$ 

• True model depends only on  $\beta_1(t)$  -  $\beta_5(t)$ .

直 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

## Numerical Study for Robust Functional Group LASSO



LAD-gLASSO(blue) and gLASSO (red) (No-contamination)



LAD-gLASSO (blue) and gLASSO (red) (15% contamination of Y).

## Numerical Study for Robust Functional Group LASSO



LAD-agLASSO (blue), LAD-gLASSO (red) and classical agLASSO (yellow) at 15% contamination of Y..



Outliers in functional predictors



Outliers in scalar response

 $\exists \rightarrow$ 



#### Classical Functional-gLASSO

Nedret Billor Functional Data Analysis & Variable Selection



Functional WLAD-gLASSO



Functional Adaptive WLAD-gLASSO

	TEMP	PRESSURE	HUMIDITY	DAYLIGHT	Avg. Model Size
Functional WLAD- agLASSO	1	0.36	0.98	0.40	2.74
Functional WLAD- gLASSO	1	0.38	0.96	0.66	3.00
Functional LAD- gLASSO	1	0.94	0.98	0.96	3.88

Proportions of runs with the respective functional predictor being selected and average model size.

Average PRESSURE and DAYLIGHT are less frequently selected by functional WLAD-agLASSO!

\* E > < E >

#### Selected References

- Gertheiss, J., Maity, A. & Staicu, A-M. (2013). Variable Selection in Generalized Functional Linear Models. Stat. 2: 86-101.
- Pannu, J. and Billor, N. (2015) Robust Group-Lasso for Functional Regression Model, Communication in Statistics: Simulation and Computation. (http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03610918.2015.1096375.).
- Lian, H. (2013). Shrinkage estimation and selection for multiple functional regression. Statistica Sinica. 23: 51-74.
- Matsui, H. & Konishi, S. (2011). Variable Selection for Functional Regression Models via the L1 Regularization. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis. 55: 3304-3310.
- Ramsay, JO. & Silverman, BW. (2005). Functional Data Analysis. Springer.
- Zhao. Y., Ogden, R. T. & Reiss, P. T. (2013). Wavelet-Based LASSO in Functional Linear Regression. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics. 21:3, 600-617.
- Zhu, H. & Cox, DD. (2009). A functional generalized linear model with curve selection in cervical pre-cancer diagnosis using fluorescence spectroscopy. IMS Lecture Notes, Monograph Series - Optimality: The Third Erich L. Lehmann Symposium. 57: 173- 189.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

#### **Thank You!**



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University

The Department of Mathematics and Statistics (DMS):

- over 50 professors representing diverse areas
  - pure mathematics
  - applied mathematics
  - statistics
- offer undergraduate programs leading to a Bachelor of Science in Mathematics and Applied Mathematics (with options in Applied Mathematics, Discrete Mathematics, or Actuarial Science)
- graduate programs leading to a Master of Science in Mathematics, Applied Mathematics, Statistics, or Probability and Statistics, and/or the Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics and the Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics, concentration in Statistics.
- 130 GTAs

同下 イヨト イヨト

## List of Research Fields

- Actuarial Mathematics
- Algebra
- Analysis
- Applied Mathematics
- Discrete Mathematics
- Differential Equations
- Geometry
- Linear Algebra
- Numerical Analysis
- Statistics
- Stochastic Analysis
- Topology

#### Possibilities for

- Research Collaborations
- Graduate Students Recruiting
- Faculty Exchange